



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/670,625

09/25/2003

Daniel Triplett

480062002000

6736

25224 7590 05/14/2007

MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP

555 WEST FIFTH STREET

SUITE 3500

LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024

EXAMINER

MCCORKLE, MELISSA A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3763

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/14/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

58

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/670,625	TRIPLETT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Melissa A. McCorkle	3763	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Melissa A. McCorkle. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Todd White. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2007.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference  
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-11.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 5,395,316 issued to Martin.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. White pointed out that the Martin reference is directed towards a side-by-side catheter, rather than a coaxial catheter. Furthermore he pointed out how the reference is not capable of being used as an insert for a coaxial catheter because of the structural differences between the two types of catheters. Examiner indicated she would update her search and issue a new non-final action once a response is received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 MATTHEW DeSanto 5/6/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required